



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

to the attorney — the book has failed to serve him. He should have gone to the attorney in the first place.

From the viewpoint of several years' experience in trying to teach certain elementary legal principles to engineering students both correctly and promptly — an incident of which was the production of a textbook for that purpose — the reviewer doubts whether the author has as fully accomplished his first purpose as he doubtless has the second.

His book seems written by one trained in the "case system" of learning law. This method studies at first hand frequently elaborate and complex cases, often bringing in many extraneous facts and legal factors. After a strong diet of close cases, which have in fact taxed the minds of eminent jurists (and are chosen for that reason), the student is supposed to formulate the principles illustrated. In this strenuous task most students are hopeless failures — hunters afield not knowing what game they are in quest of.

Those not intellectual giants need first a clear-cut statement of legal principles or rules in the abstract, with some argument for the reason and necessity for such principles. These later are clothed by the narration of actual events, such as befell in a real case. This is the "textbook system" — an easier path to the heights of legal knowledge.

These facts probably explain why much material in this book is not in a form more readily comprehensible to the lay reader, such as an engineer or architect. The author rather commonly states his principle last, if at all, and then frequently not comprehensively. He gives numerous details of decided cases, but does not string them together upon a cord of reasons, narrative, or connected criticism, which, however, the layman must chiefly rely upon if he is to grasp them clearly and in proper perspective. Nor does he commonly explain technical legal phrases when used.

True, his legal friends will not need any of this, but the architects are probably not so fortunate. They will see something, but "as through a glass, darkly."

Similarly the style evidences another fact worthy of at least passing comment.

Lawyers perforce spend countless hours poring over the language of perhaps poorly educated and frequently centuries-dead jurists. If time *was* money, great judges gave no evidence of it in their ponderous and obscurely written opinions. Unfortunately for us, many modern lawyers succumb to this pernicious influence, tending toward involved and obscure expression. The lawyer becomes immune to this style, yet indeed *his* readers must suffer later. So here, sentences close to two hundred words in length are not uncommon, but they do *not* assist the layman to follow the thought — in fact they frequently obscure it, and the ideas are easily lost sight of before the end is reached.

In conclusion: The author has made a praiseworthy effort. It might have been more fruitful if in harmony with the criticisms made. On the whole, attorneys will probably find it of greater usefulness than practicing architects.

JAMES IRWIN TUCKER.

---

THE PRINCIPLES OF EQUITY. By Edmund H. T. Snell. London: Stevens and Haynes Law Publishers. 1915. pp. xli, 579.

It is unfortunate that this admirable treatise on equity jurisprudence, now in its seventeenth edition, is not better known to American students and practitioners of law. Although strictly an English textbook in that only English cases are cited, the cases are well selected and carefully confined to those decisions in which the great principles of equity jurisprudence have been developed and enlarged. The expression is clear and direct, showing the accuracy of

thought of a true scholar, very different from the haphazard style which characterizes so many of our American text-writers. Confined to less than 600 pages, its breadth of scope is made possible only by its conciseness of expression. There is included, as well as the more strictly equitable field of trusts, specific performance, injunction and accident and mistake, such subjects as the administration of assets, conversion and reconversion, election, the rights of married women, infants and lunatics, mortgages, suretyship and partnership. Much of the discussion turns upon interests in real property, as, for instance, the theory of trusts is based largely upon the law underlying marriage settlements. In fact, the book contains much of interest to the student of property law. The principles of equitable conversion and reconversion are well stated. Conversion may occur only in four instances: (1) where realty becomes partnership property, (2) under an order of court, (3) under a trust with a direction to sell or purchase, and (4) under a contract to sell or purchase. In the last instance, only if specific performance is possible will there be a conversion. "A contract does not operate to convert the property unless it is one of which specific performance would be ordered." The author accepts the doctrine of *Townley v. Bedwell*, 14 Ves. 590, however, as law, but rightly considers it anomalous, for it is said: "If, however, the option is not exercised until after the lessor's death, on principle the lessor's interest ought to be treated as realty, for there was, at the moment of his death, no contract of which specific performance would be ordered." An interesting distinction is taken in the case where realty is given by will to trustees upon trust to sell and divide the proceeds between A. and B. equally, and A. dies in the testator's lifetime and there is a lapse of the gift to him, but B. outlives the testator; and where the gift is by deed instead of by will. Now if there is a total failure of the objects for which conversion was directed, no conversion takes place, since there is "no one who can insist on its character being altered." But the rule apparently does not work the other way, certainly as regards gifts by will, for in the above case, although B. could insist upon the conversion, yet it was decided in *Ackroyd v. Smithson*, 1 Bro. C. C. 503, that the property goes to the testator's heir at law and not to his next of kin. This principle is based upon the apparent intent of the testator, for if A. could not take there was no intention that the proceeds go to the testator's next of kin. Yet if "the testator's heir dies before receiving his share of the proceeds of sale, his share will be treated as part of his personality, whether the trustees of the will have sold the realty in his lifetime or not, for the failure of the objects of conversion being partial only, the trustees are under an enforceable duty to sell, and the property is therefore converted into personality, and the persons claiming the heir's realty have no equity to reconvert it, being merely volunteers." Where the gift is by deed, however, with a direction to sell and convey, and there is a partial failure, the property will revert to the settlor in its converted form. The test would seem to be, therefore, whether there is anyone who can demand that the character of the property be altered.

It is interesting to note that the author considers the twelve maxims of equity are based substantially on two: "Equity will not suffer a wrong to be without a remedy" and "Equity acts *in personam*." He hints at a common confusion of thought, by warning us that this last maxim should not be construed as determinative of the *nature* of an equitable right, for "this highly important maxim is descriptive of the *procedure* of equity" only. It is an important distinction to keep in mind when considering such problems as whether the right of a *cestui qui trust* against the trustee is a right *in rem* or *in personam*. Unquestionably the *cestui* must proceed against the person of the trustee, but the more recent and sounder view would seem to be that the *cestui's* interest is an equitable interest in the trust *res* itself. In the discussion of the maxim "Where the equities are equal, the first in time shall prevail," the English doc-

trine of prior equities is followed to its logical conclusion. Hence, on the sale of an equitable interest subject to a mortgage, the equitable mortgagee is said to prevail over the transferee of the *res* for value without notice. The reason given is that in equity the doctrine of tortious conveyances does not apply, hence the mortgagor can convey no more than he has. Unfortunately the right of a beneficiary under an equitable trust as against the transferee for value without notice is not discussed. It would seem that on the same reasoning as in the case of an equitable mortgagee, the beneficiary should prevail if his interest be considered a property right in the trust *res* itself, although it might well be argued that equity should follow the law in protecting a purchaser for value without notice.

On the whole, the book is worth a careful study. Well indexed, well written, with a good selection of cases and statutes, it gives the reader a comprehensive idea of those principles of law underlying our equity jurisprudence.

M. B. ANGELL.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION MANUAL. By Richard S. Harvey and Ernest W. Bradford. Washington: John Byrne & Co. 1916. pp. xxii, 457.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, ITS NATURE AND POWERS. By John M. Harlan and Lewis W. McCandless. Chicago, Callaghan & Co. 1916. pp. vi, 183.

The constitutional status of the Federal Trade Commission, the scope and limits of its powers and duties, and the meaning of the new anti-trust legislation which it is called upon to administer, have as yet received almost no judicial definition. A few cases in which the Clayton Act was involved have been reported. But the juristic development of the administrative and substantive provisions of the legislation of 1914, a development corresponding to that which followed the establishment of the Interstate Commerce Commission, lies in the future. For the present, lawyers must look to the text of the Federal Trade Commission Act and Clayton Act, and to the large background of decisions under the Sherman Law, the Interstate Commerce Act, and at common law for an understanding of the scope of the new legislation.

For this purpose the book of Messrs. Harvey and Bradford is a valuable aid. To a thorough analysis of the structure and details of the statutes is added a comprehensive review of the common law of unfair trade and monopoly, a historical sketch of the Sherman Law and its judicial interpretation, and an examination of the specific prohibitions contained in the Clayton Act, in the light of existing decisions. The relation of the statutes to patent and copyright law, to the law of trade marks and unfair competition, and to the struggle of labor and capital is adequately treated. Since industrial legislation is no longer framed abstractly in a legal vacuum, the authors have wisely extended their discussion beyond the strictly legal phases to the economic and social background on which the statutes are projected. A series of appendices contains in convenient form texts of the Trade Commission and Anti-trust acts, the Commission's Rules of Practice, the report of the Senate Committee on Interstate Commerce, on anti-trust legislation, and extracts from the ensuing debates in Congress.

Messrs. Harlan and McCandless have covered a narrower field, but in a no less useful manner. The book is designed as a guide to the general structure and theory of the statutes, rather than as a detailed reference manual. The underlying thesis of the authors is twofold: (1) The substantive provisions of the Clayton and Trade Commission Act do no more than re-enact the Sherman Law, as interpreted by the courts. The "rule of reason" is embodied in the Clayton Act by provisos extending the specific prohibitions only to transactions which "substantially lessen competition" or "tend to create a mo-